

NON-SINGULAR SPACETIMES WITH A NEGATIVE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT: II. STATIC SOLUTIONS OF THE EINSTEIN-MAXWELL EQUATIONS

PIOTR T. CHRUSCIEL AND ERWANN DELAY

ABSTRACT. We construct infinite-dimensional families of non-singular static space times, solutions of the vacuum Einstein-Maxwell equations with a negative cosmological constant. The families include an infinite-dimensional family of solutions with the usual AdS conformal structure at conformal infinity.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Definitions, notations and conventions	4
3. Isomorphism theorems	4
3.1. An isomorphism on two-tensors	4
3.2. An isomorphism on one-forms	4
3.3. An isomorphism on functions	5
4. The equations	6
4.1. The linearised equation	7
4.2. The modified equation	7
5. The construction	10
Appendix A. Static Einstein-Maxwell equations in dimensions $n + 1 \geq 4$	12
References	13

1. INTRODUCTION

A class of spacetimes of interest is that of metrics satisfying the Einstein-Maxwell equations with a negative cosmological constant and admitting a smooth conformal completion at infinity. It is natural to seek for static solutions with this property. In this paper we show that a large class of such fields can be constructed by prescribing the conformal class of a static Lorentzian metric and the asymptotic behaviour of the electric field on the conformal boundary $\partial\mathcal{M}$, provided that the boundary class is sufficiently close to, e.g., that of anti-de Sitter spacetime and the freely prescribable leading coefficient in an asymptotic expansion of the electric potential is sufficiently small. This complements our previous work on vacuum metrics in [7], which we think of as paper I in this series.

Date: December 1, 2016.
Preprint UWThPh-2016-26.

The key new difference of the current result, as compared to [3, 4, 7], is that we can drive the solution with the electric field maintaining, if desired, a conformally flat structure at the conformal boundary at infinity.

We thus seek to construct Lorentzian metrics $\mathbf{g}$, solutions of Einstein-Maxwell equations with a negative cosmological constant Λ , in any space-dimension $n \geq 3$. More precisely, we consider the following field equations for a metric

$$\mathbf{g} = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$$

and a two-form field F in space-time dimension $n + 1$, $n \geq 3$ generalising the Einstein-Maxwell equations in dimension $3 + 1$ as

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Ric}(\mathbf{g}) - \frac{\text{Tr Ric}(\mathbf{g})}{2} \mathbf{g} + \Lambda \mathbf{g} = F \circ F - \frac{1}{4} \langle F, F \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{g},$$

where Tr denotes a trace with the relevant metric (which should be obvious from the context), $(F \circ F)_{\alpha\beta} := g^{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\mu} F_{\beta\nu}$, and

$$\langle F, F \rangle \equiv g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\mu} F_{\beta\nu} =: |F|^2.$$

This is complemented with the Maxwell equations

$$(1.2) \quad \text{div}_{\mathbf{g}} F = 0 = dF.$$

We further assume existence of a hypersurface-orthogonal globally timelike Killing vector $X = \partial/\partial t$. In adapted coordinates the space-time metric can be written as

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbf{g} = -V^2 dt^2 + \underbrace{g_{ij} dx^i dx^j}_{=g},$$

$$(1.4) \quad \partial_t V = \partial_t g = 0.$$

We further assume that the Maxwell field takes the form

$$(1.5) \quad F = d(U dt), \quad \partial_t U = 0.$$

Our main result reads as follows (see Section 2 below for the definition of non-degeneracy; the function ρ in (1.7) is a coordinate near the conformal boundary at infinity ∂M that vanishes at ∂M):

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $n = \dim M \geq 3$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and consider an Einstein metric $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$ as in (1.3)-(1.4) with strictly positive $V = \mathring{V}$, $g = \mathring{g}$, such that the associated Riemannian metric $\mathring{\mathbf{g}} = \mathring{V}^2 d\varphi^2 + \mathring{g}$ on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times M$ is C^2 -compactifiable and non-degenerate, with smooth conformal infinity. For every smooth $\widehat{U}$, sufficiently close to zero in $C^{k+2, \alpha}(\partial M)$, there exists a unique, modulo diffeomorphisms which are the identity at the boundary, solution of the static Einstein-Maxwell equations of the form (1.3)-(1.5) such that, in local coordinates near the conformal boundary ∂M ,*

$$(1.6) \quad V - \mathring{V} = O(\rho), \quad g_{ij} - \mathring{g}_{ij} = O(1),$$

$$(1.7) \quad U = \widehat{U} + \begin{cases} O(\rho), & n = 3; \\ O(\rho^2 \ln \rho), & n = 4; \\ O(\rho^2), & n \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

REMARK 1.2. We have emphasised the freedom to choose the leading-order behaviour $\hat{U}$ of U . As such, the leading-order behaviour of both $\mathring{V}$ and $\mathring{g}$ is, similarly, freely prescribable near a non-degenerate solution [4, 7]. Here one can, if desired, proceed in two steps: first, find the static solution with new fields $\mathring{V}$ and $\mathring{g}$ near a non-degenerate solution; small such perturbations will preserve non-degeneracy. One can then use Theorem 1.1 at the perturbed static solution to obtain a new $\hat{U}$. $\square$

The $(n + 1)$ -dimensional anti-de Sitter metric is non-degenerate in the sense above (see eg. [4] appendix D), so Theorem 1.1 provides in particular an infinite dimensional family of solutions near that metric. We note existence of further large classes of Einstein metrics satisfying the non-degeneracy condition [1, 2, 4, 13].

The requirement of strict positivity of $\mathring{V}$ excludes black hole solutions, we are hoping to return to this question in a near future. In fact, this work was prompted by [12], where static Einstein-Maxwell black holes solutions driven by the asymptotics of the electric field have been discovered numerically.

Similarly to [12], for generic $\hat{U}$ the resulting space-time metric will have no isometries other than time-translations.

When the free boundary data $\hat{U}$ are smooth and when $\mathring{g}$ is conformally smooth, the solutions constructed here will have a polyhomogeneous expansion at the conformal boundary at infinity. The proof of this is an immediate repetition of the argument presented in [7, Section 7], where the reader can also find the definition of polyhomogeneity. The decay rates in (1.6)-(1.7) have to be compared with the local-coordinates leading-order behavior ρ^{-2} both for $\mathring{V}^2$ and $\mathring{g}_{ij}$. A more precise version of (1.6)-(1.7) in terms of weighted function spaces (we follow the notation in [7, 13]) reads, in all dimensions,

$$(1.8) \quad (V - \mathring{V}) \in C_1^{k+2,\alpha}(M), \quad (g - \mathring{g}) \in C_2^{k+2,\alpha}(M, \mathcal{S}_2),$$

$$(1.9) \quad U - \hat{U} \in C_1^{k+2,\alpha}(M),$$

and the norms of the differences above are small in those spaces. To this one can add, in dimensions $n \geq 4$,

$$(1.10) \quad U - \hat{U} - U_{\text{ln}} \rho^2 \ln \rho \in C_2^{k+2,\alpha}(M),$$

with the function $U_{\text{ln}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \overline{M})$ given by (5.4) below when $n = 4$, and $U_{\text{ln}} \equiv 0$ in dimension $n \geq 5$. Interestingly enough, in dimension $n = 4$ the function U_{ln} vanishes only when $dU \equiv 0$, hence the space-time is vacuum, see Remark 5.3 below.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 can be found at the end of Section 5. It follows closely [7], and proceeds through an implicit-function argument, with the key isomorphism properties of the associated linearised operator borrowed from [7, 13], and presented in Section 3.

We note that a constant $\hat{U}$ implies vacuum, by uniqueness of solutions. Hence the energy density of the Maxwell field behaves as ρ^4 for small ρ if not identically zero (cf. (A.14) below), which leads to finite-total-energy configurations in space-dimensions $n = 3$ and 4, but infinite matter energy in higher dimensions.

2. DEFINITIONS, NOTATIONS AND CONVENTIONS

Our definitions and conventions are identical to those in [7, Section 2]. Here we simply recall that the Lichnerowicz Laplacian acting on a symmetric two-tensor field is defined as [6, § 1.143]

$$\Delta_L h_{ij} = -\nabla^k \nabla_k h_{ij} + R_{ik} h^k{}_j + R_{jk} h^k{}_i - 2R_{ikjl} h^{kl}.$$

The operator $\Delta_L + 2n$ arises naturally when linearising the equation

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Ric}(\mathbf{g}) = -n\mathbf{g},$$

where $\text{Ric}(\mathbf{g})$ is the Ricci curvature of $\mathbf{g}$, at a solution. We will say that a metric is *non-degenerate* if $\Delta_L + 2n$ has no L^2 -kernel.

3. ISOMORPHISM THEOREMS

In this section we recall two isomorphism results from [7, Section 3] and prove an isomorphism theorem on scalars, as needed in the remainder of this work. The reader might also want to consult the introductory comments in [7, Section 3], which remain valid in the current context.

3.1. An isomorphism on two-tensors. We will need [7, Corollary 3.2]:

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let $(\mathbb{S}^1 \times M, V^2 d\varphi^2 + g)$ be an asymptotically hyperbolic non-degenerate Riemannian manifold with $\partial_\varphi V = 0 = \partial_\varphi g$. Consider the map*

$$(W, h) \mapsto (l(W, h), L(W, h)),$$

where

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} l(W, h) = & V[(\nabla^* \nabla + 2n + V^{-1} \nabla^* \nabla V + V^{-2} |dV|^2)W \\ & + V^{-1} \nabla_j V \nabla^j W - V^{-1} \nabla^j V \nabla_k V h_{kj} + \langle \text{Hess}_g V, h \rangle_g], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{ij}(W, h) = & \frac{1}{2} \Delta_L h_{ij} + n h_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} V^{-1} \nabla^k V \nabla_k h_{ij} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} V^{-2} (\nabla_i V \nabla^k V h_{kj} + \nabla_j V \nabla^k V h_{ki}) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} V^{-1} (\nabla_i \nabla^k V h_{kj} + \nabla_j \nabla^k V h_{ki}) \\ & + 2V^{-2} W (\text{Hess}_g V)_{ij} - 2V^{-3} \nabla_i V \nabla_j V W. \end{aligned}$$

Then (l, L) is an isomorphism from $C_{\delta-1}^{k+2, \alpha}(M) \times C_\delta^{k+2, \alpha}(M, \mathcal{S}_2)$ to $C_{\delta-2}^{k, \alpha}(M) \times C_\delta^{k, \alpha}(M, \mathcal{S}_2)$ when $\delta \in (0, n)$.

3.2. An isomorphism on one-forms. We will also need [7, Corollary 3.5]:

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Under the hypotheses of Corollary 3.1, suppose moreover that the Ricci tensor of $V^2 d\varphi^2 + g$ is negative. Consider the operator*

$$\Omega_i \mapsto B(\Omega)_i + R_{ij} \Omega^j - V^{-1} \nabla_i \nabla^j V \Omega_j =: \mathcal{B}(\Omega)_i,$$

where

$$B(\Omega)_i := \nabla^k \nabla_k \Omega_i + V^{-1} \nabla^k V \nabla_k \Omega_i - V^{-2} \nabla_i V \nabla^k V \Omega_k.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}$ is an isomorphism from $C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M, \mathcal{T}_1)$ to $C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M, \mathcal{T}_1)$ when $|\delta - \frac{n}{2}| < \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{4} + 1}$.

3.3. An isomorphism on functions. If we assume that $V^2 d\varphi^2 + g$ is a static asymptotically hyperbolic metric on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times M$, then it is easy to check that

$$(3.3) \quad V^{-2}|dV|^2 \rightarrow 1 \text{ and } V^{-1}\nabla^i\nabla_i V \rightarrow n$$

as the conformal boundary is approached. We will need an isomorphism property for the following operator acting on functions with $s = -1$; in [7] the result has already been established with $s = -3$ and $s = 3$, so for future reference it appears useful to consider all values of s :

$$\sigma \mapsto \mathcal{T}_s \sigma := V^{-s}\nabla^i(V^s\nabla_i\sigma) = \nabla^i\nabla_i\sigma + sV^{-1}\nabla^i V\nabla_i\sigma.$$

THEOREM 3.3. *Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with an asymptotically hyperbolic metric with $V > 0$ and assume that (3.3) holds. Let $s \neq 1 - n$ and suppose that*

$$\frac{s + n - 1 - |s + n - 1|}{2} < \delta < \frac{s + n - 1 + |s + n - 1|}{2}.$$

If $s \geq -\frac{n-1}{2}$ then $\mathcal{T}_s$ is an isomorphism from $C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M)$ to $C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M)$. If $s < -\frac{n-1}{2}$, then $\mathcal{T}_s$ is an isomorphism from $C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M)/\mathbb{R}$ to

$$(3.4) \quad \left\{ \sigma \in C_\delta^{k,\alpha}(M) : \int_M V^s \sigma = 0 \right\}.$$

Proof. When $s + n - 1 > 0$, we can use [5, Theorem 7.2.1 (ii) and Remark (i), p. 77] to conclude. As we want the result for any $s \neq 1 - n$, for the remaining cases we appeal to the results of Lee [13]. For this we need a formally self-adjoint operator, so we set $\sigma = V^{-\frac{s}{2}}f$, thus

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{T}_s \sigma = V^{-\frac{s}{2}} \left[\nabla^i \nabla_i f - \frac{s}{2} \left(\left(\frac{s}{2} - 1 \right) V^{-2} |dV|^2 + V^{-1} \nabla^i \nabla_i V \right) f \right] =: V^{-\frac{s}{2}} T_s f.$$

By assumption $V^{-2}|dV|^2 \rightarrow 1$ and $V^{-1}\nabla^i\nabla_i V \rightarrow n$ at the conformal boundary, leading to the following indicial exponents for T_s :

$$\delta = \frac{n - 1 \pm |s + n - 1|}{2}.$$

We want to show that T_s satisfies condition (1.4) of [13],

$$(3.6) \quad \|u\|_{L^2} \leq C \|T_s u\|_{L^2},$$

for smooth u compactly supported in a sufficiently small open set $\mathcal{U} \subset M$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is a neighborhood of ∂M . Let us recall the following, well known result (see eg. [7, Lemma 3.8]):

LEMMA 3.4. *On an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold (M, g) with boundary defining function ρ we have, for all compactly supported C^2 functions,*

$$\int u \nabla^* \nabla u \geq \left(\frac{n-1}{2} \right)^2 \int (1 + O(\rho)) u^2.$$

Lemma 3.4 combined with the hypothesis (3.3) shows that

$$\|u\|_{L^2} \|T_s u\|_{L^2} \geq - \int u T_s u \geq \int \left(\frac{(n-1)^2}{4} + \frac{s}{2} \left(\frac{s}{2} + n - 1 \right) + o(1) \right) u^2,$$

from which it follows that T_s satisfies indeed (3.6) with

$$C^{-1} = \frac{(s+n-1)^2}{4}.$$

We recall that the critical fall-off for a function to belong to L^2 is $O(\rho^{\frac{n-1}{2}})$. This can be used to show that the L^2 -kernel of T_s equals

$$\ker T_s = V^{\frac{s}{2}} \mathbb{R} \cap L^2 = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } s \geq -\frac{n-1}{2}, \\ V^{\frac{s}{2}} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } s < -\frac{n-1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, assume that f is in the L^2 -kernel of T_s . By elliptic regularity (see [13, Lemma 4.8] for instance) f is H^2 on M . This implies in particular that no boundary terms arise in the following integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= - \int f T_s f = - \int f V^{-\frac{s}{2}} \nabla^i [V^s \nabla_i (V^{-\frac{s}{2}} f)] \\ &= \int V^s |\nabla (V^{-\frac{s}{2}} f)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So $V^{-\frac{s}{2}} f$ is a constant. Using [13], Theorem C(c), we find:

- If $s \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ then the kernel (and then the cokernel) of T_s is trivial so T_s is an isomorphism from $C_{\delta-\frac{s}{2}}^{k+2,\alpha}(M)$ to $C_{\delta-\frac{s}{2}}^{k,\alpha}(M)$.
- If $s < -\frac{n-1}{2}$, then T_s is an isomorphism from $C_{\delta-\frac{s}{2}}^{k+2,\alpha}(M)/V^{s/2}\mathbb{R}$ to the orthogonal to the kernel:

$$\left\{ f \in C_{\delta-\frac{s}{2}}^{k,\alpha}(M) : \int_M V^{s/2} f = 0 \right\}.$$

The conclusion follows for $\mathcal{T}_s$ if we recall that $\sigma = V^{-\frac{s}{2}} f$ is in $C_{\delta}^{k,\alpha}(M)$ iff $f \in C_{\delta-\frac{s}{2}}^{k,\alpha}(M)$. $\square$

4. THE EQUATIONS

Rescaling the metric to achieve a convenient normalisation of the cosmological constant,

$$(4.1) \quad \Lambda = -\frac{n(n-1)}{2},$$

the vacuum Einstein-Maxwell equations for a metric satisfying (1.3)-(1.4) read (see Appendix A)

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} V(\nabla^* \nabla V + nV) = -\frac{n-2}{n-1} |dU|_g^2, \\ \text{Ric}(g) + ng - V^{-1} \text{Hess}_g V = V^{-2} \left(-dU \otimes dU + \frac{1}{n-1} |dU|_g^2 g \right), \\ \text{div}_g(V^{-1} \nabla U) = 0. \end{cases}$$

4.1. The linearised equation. We use the symbol Tr , or Tr_g when the metric could be ambiguous, to denote the trace. As in [7] we set

$$\text{grav } h = h - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_g h g, \quad (\text{div } h)_i = -\nabla^k h_{ik}, \quad (\text{div}^* w)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i w_j + \nabla_j w_i),$$

(note the geometers' convention, in which we have a negative sign in the definition of divergence). We consider the operator from the set of functions times symmetric two tensor fields to itself, defined as

$$\begin{pmatrix} V \\ g \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} V(\nabla^* \nabla V + nV) \\ \text{Ric}(g) + ng - V^{-1} \text{Hess}_g V \end{pmatrix}.$$

(To avoid ambiguities, $\nabla^* \nabla \equiv -\nabla^i \nabla_i =: -\Delta$.) The two components of its linearisation at (V, g) are

$$\begin{aligned} p(W, h) &= V \left[(\nabla^* \nabla + 2n + V^{-1} \nabla^* \nabla V) W + \langle \text{Hess}_g V, h \rangle_g \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle \text{div grav } h, dV \rangle_g \right], \\ P_{ij}(W, h) &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta_L h_{ij} + n h_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} V^{-1} \nabla^k V (\nabla_i h_{kj} + \nabla_j h_{ki} - \nabla_k h_{ij}) \\ &\quad - (\text{div}^* \text{div grav } h)_{ij} + V^{-2} W (\text{Hess}_g V)_{ij} - V^{-1} (\text{Hess}_g W)_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

It turns out to be convenient to introduce the one-form

$$w_j = V^{-1} \nabla^k V h_{kj} + \nabla^k h_{kj} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_j (\text{Tr } h) - V^{-1} \nabla_j W - V^{-2} \nabla_j V W,$$

which allows us to rewrite $P(W, h)$ as

$$P(W, h) = L(W, h) + \text{div}^* w,$$

where L is as in Corollary 3.1. Similarly, $p(W, h)$ can be rewritten as

$$p(W, h) = l(W, h) + V \langle w, dV \rangle_g,$$

with l given by (3.1).

4.2. The modified equation. In Section 5 we will use the implicit function theorem to construct our solutions, using the observation of [10], how to obtain a well-behaved equation by adding “gauge fixing terms”. We choose those terms as in [7], appealing to harmonic maps for the vacuum Einstein equations in one dimension higher.

Indeed, we will be solving the following system of equations

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} V(\nabla^* \nabla V + nV + \langle \Omega, dV \rangle) + \frac{n-2}{n-1} |dU|_g^2 = 0, \\ \text{Ric}(g) + ng - V^{-1} \text{Hess}_g V + \text{div}^* \Omega \\ \quad - V^{-2} \left(-dU \otimes dU + \frac{1}{n-1} |dU|_g^2 g \right) = 0, \\ \text{div}_g(V^{-1} \nabla U) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad -\Omega_j &\equiv -\Omega(V, g, \mathring{V}, \mathring{g})_j \\ &:= \mathfrak{g}_{j\mu} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha\beta} (\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - \Gamma(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})_{\alpha\beta}^\mu) \\ &= g^{\ell m} (\mathring{\nabla}_m g_{j\ell} - \frac{1}{2} \mathring{\nabla}_j g_{\ell m}) + V^{-2} g_{jk} (\mathring{V} \mathring{\nabla}^k \mathring{V} - V \nabla^k V), \end{aligned}$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ -derivatives are relative to the metric $\overset{\circ}{g}$, with Christoffel symbols $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$, latin indices run from 0 to n , and $\mathfrak{g} := V^2(dx^0)^2 + g$ with Christoffel symbols $\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$, while the $\Gamma(\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}})_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$'s are the Christoffel symbols of the metric $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The derivative of Ω with respect to (V, g) at $(\overset{\circ}{V}, \overset{\circ}{g})$ is

$$D_{(V,g)}\Omega(\overset{\circ}{V}, \overset{\circ}{g}, \overset{\circ}{V}, \overset{\circ}{g})(W, h) = -w,$$

where w is the one-form defined in Section 4.1 with (V, g) replaced with $(\overset{\circ}{V}, \overset{\circ}{g})$. Thus, the linearisation of (q, Q) at $(\overset{\circ}{V}, \overset{\circ}{g})$ is

$$D(q, Q)(\overset{\circ}{V}, \overset{\circ}{g}) = (l, L),$$

where (l, L) is the operator defined in Section 4.1 with (V, g) replaced with $(\overset{\circ}{V}, \overset{\circ}{g})$. We will show that, under reasonable conditions, solutions of (4.3) are solutions of (4.2).

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If (U, V, g) solves (4.3) then Ω is in the kernel of $\mathcal{B}$. If moreover $\Omega \in C_\delta^{2,\alpha}$ for some $\delta > n/2 - \sqrt{1+n^2/4}$, then $\Omega \equiv 0$, so that (U, V, g) solves (4.2).*

Proof. A standard adaptation of the argument in [7] gives the result; we sketch this for completeness. Set

$$a := -\frac{n-2}{n-1}V^{-2}|dU|^2, \\ A := V^{-2}(-dU \otimes dU + \frac{1}{n-1}|dU|^2g).$$

With this notation, the first two equations in (4.3) take the form

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla^*\nabla V + nV + \langle \Omega, dV \rangle = Va, \\ \text{Ric}(g) + ng - V^{-1}\text{Hess}_g V + \text{div}^* \Omega = A, \end{cases}$$

The tensor field $E(g) = \text{grav}_g \text{Ric}(g)$, has vanishing divergence, which provides the equation $\mathcal{B}(\Omega) = 0$ for Ω . Indeed, the operator $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ defined above has been constructed in [7] so that it vanishes when the modified equation for the metric holds and when the Lorentzian $(n+1)$ -dimensional divergence of the $(n+1)$ -dimensional energy-momentum tensor vanishes. The latter condition is satisfied when the $(n+1)$ -dimensional matter field equations hold; in the current case, this coincides with the last equation in (4.3).

An alternative, n -dimensional argument proceeds as follows: The calculation following [7, Equation (4.6)] shows that for a solution to the modified equation, the divergence of $E(g)$ equals

$$0 \equiv \text{div } E(g) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}(\Omega) + \beta,$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad \beta := V^{-1}d(Va) - V^{-1}A(\nabla V, \cdot) + \text{div}(\text{grav}_g A + \frac{a}{2}g).$$

The vanishing of β can be checked by a somewhat lengthy calculation using (4.3).

Either way, the Bianchi identity $\text{div } E(g) = 0$ shows that Ω is in the kernel of $\mathcal{B}$. It follows from Corollary 3.2 that the only solution of this equation which decays as described is zero. $\square$

For future reference we consider the static equations, modified as in (4.5), with a general energy-momentum tensor:

$$(4.7) \quad -V^{-1}\nabla^i\nabla_i V - \frac{2\Lambda}{n-1} + \underbrace{V^{-1}\nabla^i\Omega\nabla_i V}_{=:a} = -T_{\alpha\beta}N^\alpha N^\beta - \frac{g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}}{n-1},$$

$$(4.8) \quad R_{ij} - V^{-1}\nabla_i\nabla_j V - \frac{2\Lambda}{n-1}g_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i\Omega_j + \nabla_j\Omega_i) = \underbrace{T_{ij} - \frac{g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}}{n-1}g_{ij}}_{=:A_{ij}},$$

where $N^\alpha\partial_\alpha$ is the unit timelike normal to the initial data surface (compare (A.8)-(A.9), Appendix A). We have:

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let $T_{\mu\nu}$ be divergence-free with respect to the time-independent metric*

$$\mathbf{g} = -V^2 dt^2 + g$$

as a consequence of the matter field equations, with $\partial_t T_{\mu\nu} = T_{0i} = 0$. Set

$$(4.9) \quad a := -T_{\alpha\beta}N^\alpha N^\beta - \frac{g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}}{n-1}, \quad A_{ij} := T_{ij} - \frac{g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}}{n-1}g_{ij}.$$

Then

$$(4.10) \quad \beta \equiv 0.$$

Proof. Equation (4.6) can be rewritten as

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} -\beta_j &= \nabla_i \left(A^i_j - \frac{1}{2}(A^k_k + a)\delta_j^i \right) + V^{-1}(A_{ij} - ag_{ij})\nabla V^i \\ &= \nabla_i \left(T^i_j - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}}{n-1} + A^k_k + a \right) \delta_j^i \right) \\ &\quad + V^{-1} \left(T_{ij} - \left(\frac{g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}}{n-1} - T_{\alpha\beta}N^\alpha N^\beta - \frac{g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}}{n-1} \right) g_{ij} \right) \nabla V^i. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}}{n-1} + A^k_k + a \\ &= \frac{2g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}}{n-1} + g^{ij}T_{ij} - \frac{n}{n-1}g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta} - T_{\alpha\beta}N^\alpha N^\beta - \frac{g^{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}}{n-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The $(n+1)$ -decomposition of the equation $\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$, where ∇_μ is the space-time covariant derivative associated with $\mathbf{g}$, using the formulae for the Christoffel symbols in Appendix A gives

$$(4.12) \quad \nabla^i T_{ij} + VT_{\alpha\beta}N^\alpha N^\beta \nabla_j V + V^{-1}\nabla^j V T_{ij} = 0,$$

where ∇^i is the covariant derivative operator of g . Comparing with (4.11) gives the result. $\square$

5. THE CONSTRUCTION

We consider an asymptotically hyperbolic Einstein static metric $\mathring{g} = \mathring{V}^2 d\varphi^2 + \mathring{g}$ on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times M$. We apply Theorem 3.3 with $s = -1$, g — a Riemannian metric on M close to $\mathring{g}$ in $C_0^{k+2,\alpha}(M, \mathcal{S}_2)$, and V — a function on M close to $\mathring{V}$ in $C_{-1}^{k+2,\alpha}(M)$. It is convenient to choose some

$$(5.1) \quad \delta \in (0, 1) \text{ when } n = 3 \text{ and } \delta = 1 \text{ if } n > 3.$$

We conclude that for any $\widehat{U} \in C^{k+2,\alpha}(\partial M)$, there exists a unique solution

$$U = U(\widehat{U}, V, g) \in C_0^{k+2,\alpha}(M)$$

to

$$\begin{cases} \nabla^*(V^{-1}\nabla U) = 0, \\ U - \widehat{U} \in C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the map $(\widehat{U}, V, g) \mapsto U - \widehat{U} \in C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M)$ is smooth.

We define a new map F , defined on the set of functions on the conformal boundary at infinity $\partial_\infty M$ times functions on M times symmetric two-tensor fields on M , mapping to functions on M times symmetric two-tensor fields on M , which to $(\widehat{U}, V, g)$ associates

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} V(\nabla^*\nabla V + nV + \langle \Omega(V, g, \mathring{V}, \mathring{g}), dV \rangle) + \frac{n-2}{n-1}|dU|^2 \\ \text{Ric}(g) + ng - V^{-1}\nabla_i\nabla_j V + \text{div}^*\Omega(V, g, \mathring{V}, \mathring{g}) + V^{-2}(dU \otimes dU - \frac{1}{n-1}|dU|^2 g) \end{array} \right).$$

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let $\mathring{g} = \mathring{V}^2 d\varphi^2 + \mathring{g}$ be an asymptotically hyperbolic static Einstein metric on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times M$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. The map $\mathcal{F}$ defined as*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{k+2,\alpha}(\partial M) \times C_1^{k+2,\alpha}(M) \times C_2^{k+2,\alpha}(M, \mathcal{S}_2) & \longrightarrow & C_0^{k,\alpha}(M) \times C_2^{k,\alpha}(M, \mathcal{S}_2) \\ (\widehat{U}, W, h) & \longmapsto & F(\widehat{U}, \mathring{V} + W, \mathring{g} + h) \end{array}$$

is smooth in a neighborhood of zero.

Proof. Let δ be as in (5.1). We have, by direct estimations,

$$V^{-2}(dU \otimes dU - \frac{1}{n-1}|dU|^2 g) \in C_{2+2\delta}^{k,\alpha}(M, \mathcal{S}_2) \subset C_2^{k,\alpha}(M, \mathcal{S}_2).$$

The remaining arguments of the proof of [7, Proposition 5.2] apply with trivial modifications: The function $\mathring{V} \in C_{-1}^{k+2,\alpha}(M)$ is strictly positive, so the same is true for $\mathring{V} + W$ if W is sufficiently small in $C_1^{k+2,\alpha}(M) \subset C_{-1}^{k+2,\alpha}(M)$. Similarly, the symmetric two-tensor field $\mathring{g} + h \in C_0^{k+2,\alpha}(M, \mathcal{S}_2)$ is positive definite when h is small in $C_2^{k+2,\alpha}(M, \mathcal{S}_2) \subset C_0^{k+2,\alpha}(M, \mathcal{S}_2)$. The map $(\widehat{U}, V, g) \mapsto U$ is smooth. The fact that the remaining terms in $F(\widehat{U}, \mathring{V} + W, \mathring{g} + h)$ are in the space claimed, and that the map is smooth, follows from standard calculations (see [11, proof of Theorem 4.1] or [9, Appendix] for associated detailed calculations). $\square$

We are ready to formulate now:

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $\dim M = n \geq 3$ and let $\mathring{V}^2 d\varphi^2 + \mathring{g}$ be a non-degenerate asymptotically hyperbolic static Einstein metric on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times M$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,*

$\delta \in (0, 1)$ in $n = 3$ and $\delta = 1$ if $n > 3$. For all $\widehat{U}$ close to zero in $C^{k+2,\alpha}(\partial M)$ there exists a unique solution

$$(U, V, g) = (\widehat{U} + u, \dot{V} + W, \dot{g} + h)$$

to (4.2) with

$$(u, W, h) \in C_\delta^{k+2,\alpha}(M) \times C_1^{k+2,\alpha}(M) \times C_2^{k+2,\alpha}(M, \mathcal{S}_2),$$

close to zero, satisfying the gauge condition $\Omega = 0$. Moreover, the map $\widehat{U} \mapsto (u, W, h)$ is a smooth map of Banach spaces near zero.

Proof. As already pointed out, the function $U = U(\widehat{U}, V, g)$ exists and is unique when W and h are small. From Proposition 5.1 we know that the map $\mathcal{F}$ is smooth. The linearisation of $\mathcal{F}$ at zero is

$$D_{(W,h)}\mathcal{F}(0, 0, 0) = D_{(V,g)}F(0, \dot{V}, \dot{g}) = (l, L).$$

From Corollary 3.1, with $\delta = 2$, we obtain that $D_{(W,h)}\mathcal{F}(0, 0, 0)$ is an isomorphism. The implicit function theorem shows that the conclusion of Theorem 5.2 remains valid for the modified equation (4.3). Returning to Section 4.2, we see that $\Omega = \Omega(V, g, \dot{V}, \dot{g}) \in C_2^{k+1,\alpha}(M, T_1)$. Corollary 3.2 gives $\Omega = 0$, and we have obtained a solution to (4.2). $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1: Existence and uniqueness of a solution with

$$(5.2) \quad V - \dot{V} = O(\rho), \quad U = \widehat{U} + O(\rho^\delta), \quad g - \dot{g} = O_{\dot{g}}(\rho^2) = O_{\rho^2 \dot{g}}(1),$$

follows from Theorem 5.2. Here and elsewhere we write $u = O(\rho^\sigma)$ for a tensor u if the coordinate components of u in local coordinates near the boundary are $O(\rho^\sigma)$, and $u = O_{\dot{g}}(\rho^\sigma)$ if the norm $|u|_{\dot{g}}$ of u with respect to the metric $\dot{g}$ is $O(\rho^\sigma)$. Standard arguments show that all the fields V , U and g are polyhomogeneous (cf. e.g. [8], compare [7, Section 7]).

To justify (1.7), we plug-in a polyhomogeneous asymptotics as in (5.2) in the equations. Since the trace-free part of the Ricci tensor of $\mathbf{g} = -V^2 dt^2 + g$ decays in $\dot{\mathbf{g}}$ -norm as ρ^4 near $\rho = 0$, the metric $\mathbf{g} = -V^2 dt^2 + g$ is vacuum up to this order, which implies that the usual Fefferman-Graham expansion holds this metric up to terms $O_{\dot{\mathbf{g}}}(\rho^4 \ln \rho)$. This leads to the following form of the metric near the conformal boundary

$$(5.3) \quad \dot{g} = \rho^{-2}(d\rho^2 + \check{h}), \quad \check{h} = \check{h} + O_{\check{h}}(\rho^2), \quad \dot{V} = \check{V}\rho^{-1} + O(\rho),$$

where $\check{h}$ is a family of Riemannian metrics on the boundary depending upon ρ , with

$$g = \dot{g} + O_{\dot{g}}(\rho^2), \quad V = \dot{V} + O(\rho).$$

The equation $\nabla_i(V^{-1}\nabla^i U) = 0$ in local coordinates (ρ, x^A) as in (5.3) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \check{V}^{-1}\sqrt{\det \check{h}} \partial_\rho [\rho^{3-n}(1 + O(\rho^2))\partial_\rho U] \\ &= -\rho^{3-n}\partial_A \left[\left(\check{V}^{-1}\sqrt{\det \check{h}} + O(\rho^2) \right) (\check{h}^{AB} + O^{AB}(\rho^2))\partial_B U \right] \\ & \quad + \partial_\rho (\rho^{5-n}O^A(1)\partial_A U) + \partial_A (\rho^{5-n}O^A(1)\partial_\rho U). \end{aligned}$$

Let U a polyhomogenous solution with $U = \widehat{U} + O(\rho^\delta)$. When $n = 3$, we can see that no logarithmic term $O(\rho \ln \rho)$ is needed in U so that $U = \widehat{U} + O(\rho)$. When $n = 4$, we find

$$(5.4) \quad U = \widehat{U} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \check{V} \check{\nabla}_A (\check{V}^{-1} \check{\nabla}^A \widehat{U})}_{=: U_{\text{In}}} \rho^2 \ln \rho + O(\rho^2).$$

(In dimensions $n \geq 5$ one expects in general a logarithmic term $O(\rho^{n-2} \ln \rho)$ in an asymptotic expansion of U .) $\square$

REMARK 5.3. The equality

$$2 \int_{\partial M} \check{V}^{-1} \widehat{U} U_{\text{In}} = \int_{\partial M} \check{V}^{-1} |\check{\nabla} \widehat{U}|^2,$$

proves that $U_{\text{In}} = 0$ if and only if $\widehat{U}$ is constant. When $\widehat{U}$ is constant the function $U := \widehat{U}$ solves the equations and satisfies the right-boundary values, so the associated solution is vacuum.

APPENDIX A. STATIC EINSTEIN-MAXWELL EQUATIONS IN DIMENSIONS $n + 1 \geq 4$

Consider a Riemannian manifold (M, g) , let us denote by ∇ the Levi-Civita derivative operator associated with g . Let $V : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and set

$$(\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R} \times M, \tilde{g} = \varepsilon V^2 dt^2 + g), \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1,$$

and $(x^\mu) = (x^0 = t, x^i = (x^1, \dots, x^n))$. We have

$$(A.1) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{00}^0 = \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^0 = \tilde{\Gamma}_{i0}^k = 0, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{i0}^0 = V^{-1} \partial_i V, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{00}^k = -\varepsilon V \nabla^k V, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k,$$

$$(A.2) \quad \tilde{R}_{ijk}^l = R_{ijk}^l, \quad \tilde{R}_{0j0}^l = -\varepsilon V \nabla_j \nabla^l V, \quad \tilde{R}_{ij0}^0 = V^{-1} \nabla_j \nabla_i V,$$

$$(A.3) \quad \tilde{R}_{ijk}^0 = \tilde{R}_{ij0}^l = \tilde{R}_{0jk}^l = \tilde{R}_{0jk}^0 = \tilde{R}_{0j0}^0 = 0,$$

$$(A.4) \quad \tilde{R}_{ik} = R_{ik} - V^{-1} \nabla_k \nabla_i V, \quad \tilde{R}_{0k} = 0, \quad \tilde{R}_{00} = -\varepsilon V \nabla^i \nabla_i V,$$

$$(A.5) \quad \tilde{R} = R - 2V^{-1} \nabla^i \nabla_i V.$$

Consider the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Einstein equations

$$(A.6) \quad \tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Equations (A.6) with $\tilde{g} = -V^2 dt^2 + g$ lead to

$$(A.7) \quad \tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2\Lambda - \text{Tr}_{\tilde{g}} T}{n-1} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} + T_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$(A.8) \quad R_{ij} = V^{-1} \nabla_i \nabla_j V + \frac{2\Lambda}{n-1} g_{ij} + T_{ij} - \frac{\text{Tr}_{\tilde{g}} T}{n-1} g_{ij},$$

$$(A.9) \quad V \nabla^i \nabla_i V = V^2 \left(T_{\alpha\beta} N^\alpha N^\beta + \frac{\text{Tr}_{\tilde{g}} T - 2\Lambda}{n-1} \right),$$

where $N^\alpha \partial_\alpha$ is the unit timelike normal to the level sets of t .

For a static electric field $F = d(Udt)$, $\partial_t U = 0$, and $T_{\mu\nu}$ as in (1.1) we find

$$(A.10) \quad |F|^2 = -2V^{-2}|dU|^2,$$

$$(A.11) \quad \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} \equiv \text{Tr}_{\tilde{g}} T = \frac{(3-n)}{4}|F|^2 = -\frac{(3-n)}{2}V^{-2}|dU|^2,$$

$$(A.12) \quad T_{ij} = -V^{-2}\nabla_i U \nabla_j U + \frac{1}{2}V^{-2}|dU|^2 g_{ij},$$

$$(A.13) \quad g^{ij}T_{ij} = \frac{(n-2)}{2}V^{-2}|dU|^2$$

$$(A.14) \quad T_{\widehat{0}\widehat{0}} := T_{\mu\nu}N^\mu N^\nu = \frac{1}{2}V^{-2}|dU|^2.$$

Choosing Λ as in (4.1), Equation (A.9) gives

$$(A.15) \quad V\Delta V = \frac{n-2}{(n-1)}|dU|^2 - \frac{2\Lambda V^2}{n-1} = \frac{n-2}{(n-1)}|dU|^2 + nV^2.$$

When $\tilde{g} = \varepsilon V^2 dt^2 + g$ is static and

$$(A.16) \quad T = T_{00}(dx^0)^2 + T_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad \partial_0 T_{00} = \partial_0 T_{ij} = 0,$$

then

$$(A.17) \quad \tilde{\nabla}^\alpha T_{\alpha\beta} dx^\beta = (\nabla^i T_{ij} + V^{-1}\nabla^i V T_{ij} - \varepsilon V^{-3}\nabla_j V T_{00})dx^j.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS The research of PTC was supported in part by the Austrian Research Fund (FWF), Project P 24170-N16.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.T. Anderson, *Einstein metrics with prescribed conformal infinity on 4-manifolds*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **18** (2001), no. 2, 305–366, arXiv:math.DG/0105243. MR 2421542
- [2] ———, *Boundary regularity, uniqueness and non-uniqueness for AH Einstein metrics on 4-manifolds*, *Adv. in Math.* **179** (2003), 205–249, arXiv:math.DG/0104171. MR 2010802
- [3] M.T. Anderson, P.T. Chruściel, and E. Delay, *Non-trivial, static, geodesically complete vacuum space-times with a negative cosmological constant*, *Jour. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2002), 063, 22 pp., arXiv:gr-qc/0211006. MR 1951922
- [4] ———, *Non-trivial, static, geodesically complete space-times with a negative cosmological constant. II. $n \geq 5$, AdS/CFT correspondence: Einstein metrics and their conformal boundaries*, *IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys.*, vol. 8, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2005, arXiv:gr-qc/0401081, pp. 165–204. MR MR2160871
- [5] L. Andersson and P.T. Chruściel, *On asymptotic behavior of solutions of the constraint equations in general relativity with “hyperboloidal boundary conditions”*, *Disser. Math.* **355** (1996), 1–100 (English). MR MR1405962 (97e:58217)
- [6] A.L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, *Ergebnisse d. Math. 3. Folge*, vol. 10, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [7] P.T. Chruściel and E. Delay, *Non-singular, vacuum, stationary space-times with a negative cosmological constant*, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **8** (2007), 219–239. MR MR2314449
- [8] P.T. Chruściel, E. Delay, J.M. Lee, and D.N. Skinner, *Boundary regularity of conformally compact Einstein metrics*, *Jour. Diff. Geom.* **69** (2005), 111–136, arXiv:math.DG/0401386.
- [9] E. Delay, *Étude locale d’opérateurs de courbure sur l’espace hyperbolique*, *Jour. Math. Pures Appl.* **78** (1999), 389–430.

- [10] Y. Fourès-Bruhat, *Théorème d'existence pour certains systèmes d'équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires*, Acta Math. **88** (1952), 141–225.
- [11] C.R. Graham and J.M. Lee, *Einstein metrics with prescribed conformal infinity on the ball*, Adv. Math. **87** (1991), 186–225.
- [12] C.A.R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, *Static black holes with no spatial isometries in AdS-electrovacuum*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117** (2016), 221102, arXiv:1606.02302 [gr-qc].
- [13] J.M. Lee, *Fredholm operators and Einstein metrics on conformally compact manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **183** (2006), vi+83, arXiv:math.DG/0105046. MR MR2252687

PIOTR T. CHRUSCIEL, ERWIN SCHRÖDINGER INSTITUTE AND FACULTY OF PHYSICS,
UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA, BOLTZMANNGASSE 5, A1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: `piotr.chrusciel@lmpt.univ-tours.fr`

URL: `http://www.phys.univ-tours.fr/~piotr`

ERWANN DELAY, AVIGNON UNIVERSITÉ, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES
D'AVIGNON (EA 2151) F-84018 AVIGNON

E-mail address: `Erwann.Delay@univ-avignon.fr`

URL: `http://www.math.univ-avignon.fr`